REMARKS

Claim rejections 35 USC §112

Claim 1: the antecedent basis is believed corrected by deleting the word "the" prior to the first instance of "RDX crystals".

Claim 2: the antecedent basis is believed corrected by adding the phrase "explosive crystals of" prior to the introduction of RDX and HMX.

Claim 7 is cancelled

Allowabel subject matter

The examiner has indicated that claims 1-5, 8, and 17-26 would be allowable in light of the aforementioned corrections.

The examiner has further indicated that 12 would allowable, but was dependent upon a rejected base claim. The features of claim 12 have thus been added to claims 9 and 10 respectively, and claim 12 cancelled. Claims 9 and 10 are thus believed allowable.

Claims 11 and 13-16 are believed allowable at least based upon their dependence from amended claims 9 and 10.

Claims 27-29 are believed allowable at least based upon their dependence from newly amended claims 9 or 10.

Claims 30-35 are believed allowable at least based upon their dependence from claims 13, 15 and 16 (these claims being dependent from newly amended claims 9 or 10).

Claim 36: The features from claim 41 (indicated as allowable) have been added to claim 36 and claim 41 cancelled. Claim 36 and claims dependent therefrom (claims 37-40) are thus believed allowable.

Claim 42 (indicated as being allowable but for its dependence on a rejected base claims) has been rewritten in independent form, adding the features from previous claim 36.

The presented claims are thus believed allowable

Christian D. Abel 43,455